

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/914,638	12/27/2001	Raymond J. Gorte	GRI-01-013	9657
75	90 08/18/2003			
Mark E Fejer Pauley Petersen Kinne & Fejer Suite 365			EXAMINER	
			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE	
2800 West Higgins Road Hoffman Estates, IL 60195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
	DATE MAILED: 08/18/2001			3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_/}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	y				
	09/914,638	GORTE ET AL.	<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•				
	Carol Chaney	1745	dress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply			-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No a cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely NONTHS from the mailing date of this co a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>j.</i> mmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27							
24/	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte quayre, 1000	÷					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	÷					
Application Papers	or						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	p						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (P					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No. 9					

- Application/Control Number: 09/914,638

Art Unit: 1745

Information Disclosure Statem nt

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 07 January 2002 contains pages 2-6 which appear to be relevant to application 09/216,324 rather than the instant application. Accordingly, the first page of the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner and an initialed copy of page 1 is being provided with this office action.

Specification

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 4-11, 13-15, 17-21 and 23-24 been renumbered consecutively from 3-20.

Claim 11 (originally numbered 15) is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. This claim depends upon claim 12, which was not originally filed.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,638

Art Unit: 1745

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. US 2001/0029231 A1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are identical except that impregnation of metal salts into a porous anode structure are claimed in Application No. US 2001/0029231 A1 while the claims of the instant invention are limited to impregnation of copper salts. However, both the application and the instant invention specifically include impregnating a copper salt into the porous anode structure.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- Application/Control Number: 09/914,638

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 13-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U. S. Patent No. 6,589,680 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both encompass a solid oxide fuel cell having an anode comprising a porous YSZ layer where the pores are coated with, or include, copper.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Virkar et al., US Patent 5,543,239 discloses impregnating porous fuel cell cathode structures with silver salts.

Pederson et al., US Patent 4,880,772 discloses forming ceramic films from aqueous solutions.

Isenberg, US Patent 3,07,433 discloses methods of forming solid oxide fuel cell electrodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

MacMaller

Ma

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

cc August 9, 2003